Case 2:14-cv-02360-PRIL COCVIDEN SH FIED 04/23/14 Page 1 of 8

APPENDIX H

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provice by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiat he civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

110 01	in doublet bileet. (bbb ii it	, into bilono on the harten											
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ADRIAN GUILFORD						DEFENDANTS BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION; FIA CARD SERVICES, N.A.							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed PlaintiffPHILADELPHIA						County of Residence of First Listed Defendant PHILADELPHIA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.							
The	• •	or Lorenz, P.C., 450 N. Narberth A											
I. B	ASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box Only)	I. CIT	IZENSI	IIP OF PI	RINCI	PAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One	Box for I	Plaintiff		
			1		(For Divers	ity Cases Only)		and One Box for	Defendan	t)		
	U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		PTF Citize	en of This State			DEF Incorporated a r 1		PTF □ 4	DEF □4		
□ 2	U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	o of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another	State	1 2	of Business In Th 2 Incorporated a n d of Business		□ 5	□ 5		
(X/ N	JATUDE OF SUIT	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Only			en or Subject oreign Counti		3	☐ 3 Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6 ———		
V . I	CONTRACT		orts	FORI	FEITURE/PI	ENALTY	Т	SANKRUPTCY	OTHER S	TATUTE	c ·		
7 110	Insurance			-	0 Agriculture			Appeal 28 USC 158					
120 130 140 150 8 151 152 8 (() (() () 160 190 195 196 210 220 220 240 245	Insurance Marine Marine Marine Miller Act Negotiable Instrument Recovery of Overpayment Recovery of Defaulted tudent Loans Excl. Veterans) Recovery of Overpayment f Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land Tort Product Liability All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault. Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	550 Civil Rights	62	0 Agriculture 10 Other Foods 15 Drug Relat of Property 2 10 Liquor Lav 10 R.R. & Tru 10 Airline Reg 10 Occupatior Safety/Healt 10 Other LAE 10 Labor/Mgn 10 Labor/Mgn 20 Disclosure 10 Railway Le 10 Other Labor 11 Empl. Ret. Security Act	& Drug ed Seizure 21 USC 881 //S ck //S tal h BOR Standards nt. Relations nt. Reporting e Act bor Act r Litigation Inc.	423	Withdrawal 8 USC 157 DPERTY RIGHTS Copyrights	□ 400 State Rea □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and □ 450 Commerc □ 460 Deportati □ 470 Racketeel □ Corrupt Or □ 480 Consume □ 490 Cable/Sat □ 810 Selective □ 850 Securities □ Exchange □ 875 Customer □ 12 USC 34 ⋈ 890 Other Sta ⋈ 891 Agricultu □ 892 Economic ⋈ 893 Environm ⋈ 894 Energy A ⋈ 895 Freedom Act □ 900Appeal of Under Equ to Justice □ 950 Constituti State Statu	If Banking e on on Influence ganization r Credit TV Service //Commod Challeng 10 tutory Acts e Stabiliza ental Mat Illocation / of Informa Fee Deteral Access onality of	ed and s ities/ e ions tion Act ters Act attion minatior		
7. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) □ Original □ Removed from □ Appeal to District □ Froceeding Transferred from □ Appeal to District □ Appeal to													
/I. C	AUSE OF ACTION		e under which you are filir E: TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227		not cite jur	isdictional st	tatutes 1	unless diversity):					
/II. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23			DEMAND \$			CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint JURY DEMAND: ☑ Yes ☐ No.					
	RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See instructions):					DOC	KET NUMBER					
PATE 4	1/23/14	6	SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	RNEYC	F RECORD	0							
OR O	FFICE USE ONLY	MOLINIT.	A DDI WATE TO			MIDGE.		140 W	DCE				

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP

UJUDGE

MAG, JUDGE

APPENDIX I

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

ADRIAN GUILFORD

	V		
	OF AMERICA, N.A.; BANK OF AMERICA : NO. PRATION; FIA CARD SERVICES, N.A. :		
plaintiffiling the side of designation the planting the planting p	ordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, court ff shall complete a case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the first form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regardation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and intiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the that defendant believes the case should be assigned.	ne tin he re ding I serv	ne of verse said e on
SELEC	CT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:		
(a)	Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through §2255.	()
(b)	Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits	()
(c)	Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d)	Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	()
(e)	Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases)	()
(f) 9/2 Date	Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. ANDREW M. MILZ Attorney at Law Attorney for Plaintiff	(X)
(610) 83 Teleph (Civ.660)		<u>m</u>	

Case 2:14-cv-02360-PBT Document 1 Filed 04/23/14 Page 3 of 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT APPENDIX F FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 2721 S. 70TH Street, Philadelphia, PA 19142 Address of Defendant: 4 Penn Center Plaza, 1600 J.F.K. Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19103 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 2721 S. 70th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19142 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a) Yes ☐ No 🖾 Yes 🔲 No 🛛 Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes □ No ☒ 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated Yes 🗌 No 🛛 action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes \ No \ CIVIL: (Place In ONE CATEGORY ONLY) B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation ☐ Antitrust 4. Marine Personal Injury ☐ Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Labor-Management Relations 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify) Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability (Asbestos) Securities Act(s) Cases All other Diversity Cases (Please specify) 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) _, counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D. NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except

CIV.609 (4/03)

Attorney-at-Law

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ADRIAN GUILFORD 2721 S. 70th Street Philadelphia, PA 19142 **CIVIL ACTION**

Plaintiff

VS.

NO.

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. 4 Penn Center Plaza, 1600 J.F.K. Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19103

and

BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION 4 Penn Center Plaza, 1600 J.F.K. Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19103

and

FIA CARD SERVICES, N.A. 4 Penn Center Plaza, 1600 J.F.K. Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19103

Defendants

COMPLAINT

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 (hereinafter "TCPA").
- 2. The TCPA broadly prohibits any person from placing calls and text messages using an automated telephone dialing system or artificial or prerecorded voice to a cellular phone.
- 3. Defendant harassed Plaintiff with repeated autodialed collection calls to Plaintiff's cell phone, in violation of the TCPA.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's TCPA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331; *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Ser., Inc.*, 132 S.Ct. 740 (2012).
- 5. Venue in this Court is proper in that Plaintiff resides here, the conduct complained of occurred here, and the Defendant transacts business here.

III. PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff Adrian Guilford is a natural person who resides in Philadelphia, PA at the address captioned.
- 7. Defendant Bank of America, N.A. is a national association with its main office in Charlotte, NC, and a branch office at 4 Penn Center Plaza, 1617 JFK Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19103.
- 8. Defendant, Bank of America Corporation is a Delaware corporation that maintains a corporate headquarters in Charlotte, NC, and operates a branch office at 4 Penn Center Plaza, 1617 JFK Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19103.
- 9. Defendant FIA Card Services, N.A. is a national association that maintains corporate headquarters in Wilmington, DE, and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant Bank of American Corporation, with a branch office at 4 Penn Center Plaza, 1617 JFK Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19103.
- 10. Defendants, Bank of America, N.A., Bank of America Corporation, and FIA Card Services, N.A. are collectively referred to herein as ("Bank of America" or "Defendants").
- 11. Bank of America at all relevant times was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

IV. STATEMENT OF CLAIM

- 12. Plaintiff Adrian Guilford has a cellular telephone number (ending in 0663) which she carries on her person and regularly uses.
- 13. Prior to 2010, she had a cellular telephone number (ending in 0390) which she carried on her person and regularly used.
- 14. At all relevant times, these phone numbers were assigned to a cellular telephone service Plaintiff used and paid for.
- 15. Bank of America placed calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone numbers in the effort to collect a Bank of America credit account.
 - 16. The alleged credit account was a home mortgage.
 - 17. Bank of America placed hundreds of calls to Plaintiff's cell phone.
- 18. When answered, there would be a long pause or a recording, consistent with an automated telephone dialing system.
- 19. It is believed, and therefore averred, that the calls made by Bank of America to Plaintiff's cell phone were made using either an automatic telephone dialing system, as that term is defined in 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1), or an artificial or prerecorded voice.
- 20. Bank of America did not have the "prior express consent" that is required by the TCPA.
- 21. Plaintiff told Bank of America to stop calling her cell phone, but the calls did not cease.
- 22. These telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes," as defined by the Federal Communication Commission in 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200.

3

- On December 6, 2007, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") issued 23. a citation to Bank of America for violations of the TCPA, admonishing Bank of America that "[i]f after receipt of this citation, you or your company violate the Communications Act or the Commission's rules in any manner described herein, the Commission may impose monetary forfeitures not to exceed \$11,000 for each such violation or each day of a continuing violation." FCC Citation Bank of America, NA, Dec. 2007, available to athttp://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-302755A1.pdf
- 24. Notwithstanding its prior violations and FCC's citation, Defendants continued to place prohibited calls to Plaintiff's cell phone without her prior express consent.
- 25. Defendant willfully placed these auto-dialed calls to Plaintiff without Plaintiff's consent.

COUNT I – TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 26. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained above as if the same were here set forth at length.
- 27. Defendant has violated the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., and its implementing Regulation at 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200 et seq., by making any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 28. Plaintiff is entitled, under the TCPA, to statutory damages of not less than \$500.00 nor more than \$1,500.00 for each autodialed or artificial/pre-recorded telephone call to her cellular phone.

4

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendant Bank of America, N.A., Bank of America Corporation and FIA Card Services, N.A. for the following:

- (a) Statutory damages for each call, pursuant to the TCPA;
- (b) A declaration that Defendant's calls violate the TCPA;
- (b) Such other and further relief as the Court shall deem just and proper.

V. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted:

Date: 4/23/14

CARY L. FLITTER
THEODORE E. LORENZ
ANDREW M. MILZ

FLITTER LORENZ, P.C. 450 N. Narberth Avenue, Suite 101 Narberth, PA 19072 (610) 822-0782

Attorneys for Plaintiff